A RIGOROUS VERSUS ANALYSIS

DARTH VADER

(Disney Canon — Standard Willing-Use Kit)

versus

MAGNETO

(Earth-616 — Omega-Level Mutant)

Science-First Power Scaling • Full Bibliographic Citations • Counter Matrix • Tactical Modeling

Now with Corrected Feat-Validity Rules and Fully Worked Mathematical Combat Scenarios

Document Version 3.0 — Corrected Feat-Validity & Mathematical Combat-Modeling Edition

1. Methodology: The Correct Structure for Fiction Versus Analysis

Most online versus discussions fail because they begin with conclusions or raw destructive capacity. The proper sequence is the reverse of common practice. This document follows a strict layered method that treats fiction analysis with the same care expected in any serious comparative study.

The seven required layers, in order, are:

- Exact version and continuity lock. Temporary external amplifications that the character cannot access at will are excluded.
- Scientific or lore classification of each power set. Determine whether the powers share an interaction channel or operate orthogonally (independent channels).
- Catalog of only valid willing-use feats. Every claim must carry full bibliographic citation: title, creator(s), publication date, and issue or equivalent identifier.
- Independent scaling of durability and output. Higher destructive capacity does not automatically defeat a different interaction type or a critical vulnerability.
- Construction of a counter matrix that treats the encounter as a decision tree of moves and responses rather than a pure ranking of power levels.
- Assignment of tactical scores only after the preceding layers are complete. Weight is given to initiative, continuous versus discrete application, and material vulnerabilities.
- Presentation of probability-style opening branches under the assumption of intelligent play. Uncertainty ranges are stated rather than absolute claims.

All numerical scores and percentages that appear later in this document are model outputs derived from the layers above. They are not independent assertions of absolute truth. The goal is transparency of reasoning so that any reader can inspect, challenge, or extend the analysis.

1.1 Correction to Layer 3 — The Feat-Validity Rule

Version 2.0 of this document made a specific methodological error that is corrected here. The original feat-validity rule required a power to be demonstrated repeatably before it counted as "willing-use." That rule was written to exclude anomalous, non-repeatable outliers, but it was worded broadly enough that it also excluded feats that are shown only once on-panel or on-screen yet are clearly framed as a standard, ordinary application of the character's native power. Requiring repetition conflated two categories that must be kept separate:

- Rule A — Outlier Exclusion (unchanged). A feat is excluded if it depends on an external, temporary, plot-contingent amplification that is not part of the character's baseline kit at a typical moment (e.g., a one-time power transfer, a unique artifact, a cosmic event).
- Rule B — Standard Single-Demonstration Inclusion (corrected). A feat that is shown only once is still valid if the narrative frames it as an ordinary exercise of the character's established power set rather than as an exceptional circumstance. Repetition is evidence of standard use, but it is not the only evidence, and its absence is not by itself disqualifying.

Because the prior rule applied Rule A's exclusion logic to cases that only failed to meet Rule B's repetition bar, it removed legitimate feats from both characters symmetrically. The removal was not neutral in its effect, however, since it fell hardest on the specific ability categories that were each character's strongest counter-argument: Vader's precision internal Force effects and Magneto's largest single-instance environmental feats. Restoring those feats under the corrected rule is done in Section 4.

1.2 New Rule — Statement-Based Capability Transfer

A second gap in the prior version is that it scored every ability purely from the character's own directly cited feats and ignored explicit in-text statements of relative power. Fiction versus analysis conventionally allows a transitive scaling argument: if a primary source explicitly states that Character A is stronger than Character B in a specific, named ability, then Character B's demonstrated feats in that ability become a valid lower bound for Character A in that same ability. This document adopts that rule under a strict scope, precisely to avoid the more common failure mode of over-scaling from vague hype text:

- The statement must name the specific ability domain being compared (e.g., "telekinetic lift capacity," not "the Force" in general, and "magnetic field strength," not "power" in general).
- The statement must be a direct, sourced comparison between two named characters or a named character and a named benchmark, not a general reputation claim ("the most powerful X who ever lived" is hype, not a scoped comparison, and is not sufficient under this rule).
- The transferred value applies only as a lower bound in that specific ability domain. It does not upgrade the character's capability generally.

Applied honestly, this rule is a double-edged tool: it can raise a character's floor, but only where a scoped, citable statement actually exists. In preparing this edition, a targeted search was run for a scoped, primary-source statement that would qualify for either character within the Section 2 continuity lock (for example, published comparisons of Magneto's raw magnetic output against his daughter Polaris, who shares his power type). No comparison surfaced that met the full bar above — specificity of ability, named comparison target, and a directly citable primary source rather than fan discussion or wiki paraphrase. Rather than manufacture a citation to fill the gap, this edition states the rule and leaves the slot open. If a qualifying statement is located later (for example, a specific panel or line establishing Magneto's magnetic output relative to Polaris, or Vader's telekinetic strength relative to a named Force-user in Disney canon), it should be added here with full bibliographic detail and it will raise the relevant character's floor in Section 4 without requiring any other part of the document to change.

1.3 New Rule — Magnitude-Contest vs. Channel-Contest Distinction

This is the most important structural fix in this edition and directly addresses the strongest objection to Version 2.0: that a 10-to-the-14th-power difference in raw output was allowed to imply something close to a 0% win probability for Vader, which does not follow from the document's own orthogonal-channel argument. The fix is to explicitly classify every exchange into one of two contest types before any score is assigned:

- Type I — Magnitude Contest. Both combatants act on the same physical object or target through mechanisms that occupy the same interaction channel (for example, both pulling on the same metal hull). Here, and only here, raw force or energy differential is the correct decision variable, and the vector-summation math in Section 4.5 applies directly.
- Type II — Channel Contest. One combatant's action targets something the other's power set cannot access at all (for example, a purely internal biological effect against a power that only acts on charges and magnetic moments). Here the outcome is decided by initiative and timing, not magnitude, because a successful hit through an unguarded channel is not "weaker" for having less raw energy behind it — the target has no defense to graduate the attack against in the first place.

The correct analogy, as raised directly against the prior draft, is the strongest man in the world against an ordinary firearm. Superior physical output is simply not the relevant variable once the contest has moved to a channel the stronger party cannot block. Section 8 of this edition works this distinction into two fully quantified scenarios: one in which Vader's channel advantage decides the fight before Magneto's magnitude advantage becomes relevant, and one in which Magneto's magnitude and continuous-application advantage decides the fight because the timing race

goes the other way. Both are legitimate outcomes of the same rule set; which one applies depends on who acts first and how quickly each character's decisive effect resolves, not on which character has the larger peak number.

---

2. Character Versions — Exact Continuity Locks

2.1 Darth Vader — Disney Canon Standard Kit

The version analyzed is the post-Mustafar Sith Lord as portrayed in the original trilogy films, the animated series Star Wars Rebels, and the primary Marvel Star Wars comic runs that remain consistent with Disney continuity. Temporary external power sources are deliberately excluded: planet-draining energy canisters, kyber-charged electro staffs, one-time Force locus events at Fortress Vader, and any other amplification that is not part of Vader's standard, willing-use equipment and abilities at a typical moment.

Primary textual and visual sources for consistent capabilities include:

- The original trilogy films, particularly The Empire Strikes Back (1980), for long-range Force application.
- Tarkin, by James Luceno (Del Rey, 4 November 2014).
- Marvel's Star Wars (2015) series, especially issue #2, written by Jason Aaron with art by John Cassaday (4 February 2015).
- Multiple Darth Vader comic series under Disney continuity (including runs by Kieron Gillen, Greg Pak, and Charles Soule) for suit behavior, Force barriers, and electronic vulnerabilities.
- A New Hope (1977) and Rogue One: A Star Wars Story (2016), for standard-use internal and multi-target Force applications restored under the corrected Rule B (see Section 4.1a).

2.2 Magneto — Earth-616 Omega-Level Mutant

The version analyzed is the mainstream Marvel Universe (Earth-616) Magneto, classified as an Omega-level mutant in the Krakoan era (House of X / Powers of X, 2019) and consistent with decades of prior portrayal. This classification means the upper limit of his magnetic power is not currently established within the fiction. High-end but consistent planetary magnetic manipulation, nuclear-resistant force fields, and precise metal control are treated as standard capabilities. One-off cosmic amplifications, temporary power losses, and alternate-universe versions are set aside.

Key consistent demonstrations appear in:

- X-Men (1991) #25, written by Fabian Nicieza with art by Andy Kubert, published October 1993 (adamantium removal).
- Uncanny X-Men #150, written by Chris Claremont (1981) (thermonuclear missile interception and geological manipulation).
- Uncanny X-Men #534.1 and related titles (city-scale structural stabilization during seismic events).
- Early Silver Age Uncanny X-Men material (Lee/Kirby era) and later Asteroid M-era stories, for standard-use large-scale environmental control restored under the corrected Rule B (see Section 4.3a).

---

3. Scientific Foundation: Force versus Electromagnetism

3.1 Electromagnetism as a Real Fundamental Force

Electromagnetism is one of the four fundamental forces of nature (alongside gravity, the strong nuclear force, and the weak nuclear force). It is completely described by Maxwell's equations and acts only on electric charges and magnetic moments. Field strength is measured in teslas (T). The energy density stored in a magnetic field is:

$$u = B^2 / (2\mu_0)$$

where μ_0 is the permeability of free space. Doubling the field strength multiplies the energy density by a factor of four. Real magnetic fields obey inverse-square or dipole fall-off with distance, conserve energy and momentum, and can be partially shielded: conductors for rapidly changing fields (EMP), and high-permeability materials for static fields. An electromagnetic pulse is a rapid change in the electromagnetic field, and that change induces electric currents in any nearby conductor according to Faraday's law. Electronic systems that rely on controlled current flow are vulnerable by physical necessity.

3.2 The Force as a Fictional Mystical Construct

The Force has no counterpart in real physics. It is not electromagnetism, gravity, or a nuclear force. It is described in Star Wars lore as a mystical energy field generated by living things, with no assigned units of energy, no conservation law required to hold across every depiction, no wavelength, and no laboratory measurement. It functions as plot-driven telekinesis combined with extrasensory perception, capable of affecting matter, energy, minds, and (through precognition) information about future events without a physical medium recognized by Maxwell's equations. Because the Force is not made of charges or magnetic moments, a magnetic field has no direct physical mechanism with which to cancel or block it, and the Force has no established ability to generate or cancel real electromagnetic fields in the Maxwell sense. The two power sets therefore operate on largely independent channels.

3.3 Derived Interaction Rules

- A magnetic field does not cancel or block Force telekinesis.
- The Force does not generate or cancel real electromagnetic fields.
- An EMP induces currents in conductors and therefore affects any electronic life-support or cybernetic system.
- Metal (durasteel armor plates, cybernetic limbs, lightsaber hilt) lies fully inside the domain of magnetic control.
- Remaining organic iron after Mustafar is limited; blood-iron effects are correspondingly reduced in effectiveness.
- Precognition is pure information. It supplies a timing (initiative) advantage and carries zero energy cost in the physical sense.

Vector Overlap and Material Stress. When both combatants attempt to manipulate the same object, the forces are additive (a Type I, Magnitude Contest under Rule 1.3). Because Magneto's magnetic field energy density scales to a higher order of magnitude, he maintains kinetic dominance in that specific configuration. If both maintain a “grip,” the target object undergoes catastrophic structural failure rather than moving in one direction — see Section 4.5. This rule applies only to shared-object contests; it does not govern Type II, Channel Contest exchanges, which are decided by initiative and timing per Section 1.3.

4. Darth Vader — Primary Tool Inventory

The following seven categories are the consistent, willing-use tools that form Vader's standard kit, catalogued individually before any comparison is made. Each entry states the tool's nature, its demonstrated scale, and its primary sources. Full citation detail and restored feats appear in Section 6; this inventory is the quick-reference index that the counter matrix in Section 7 draws on.

4.1 Force Choke / Force Crush (Internal Telekinetic Effect)

Nature: Mystical telekinesis applied to internal systems or the structural integrity of a target. Because it is not electromagnetic, magnetic force fields do not automatically block it.

Consistent scale: Multi-target and long-range capable. Vehicle-scale structural disruption demonstrated when Vader holds and begins dismantling an AT-AT walker. Internal disruption of bodily systems shown in prose.

Primary sources: Star Wars (2015) #2 by Jason Aaron and John Cassaday (published 4 February 2015) — AT-AT interaction. Tarkin by James Luceno (Del Rey, 4 November 2014) — internal Force effects during interrogation. The Empire Strikes Back (1980) — long-range application through a transmission.

4.2 Precognition / Combat Sense

Nature: Pure informational advantage. It does not generate force or energy; it supplies early warning of an opponent's action so that Vader can begin his own response slightly earlier.

Consistent scale: High-tier battlefield awareness standard for experienced Force users of Vader's level. Functions as an initiative modifier on any timed exchange.

Primary sources: Consistent across the original trilogy films, Rebels, and Disney-era comics. Treated as a baseline capability rather than a rare peak feat.

4.3 Force Barrier

Nature: Telekinetic wall or field that can stop or redirect physical objects, debris, water, and certain energy attacks.

Consistent scale: Environmental and conventional-weapon level. Demonstrated against seawater floods, falling structural debris, and lava. Lower energy density than Magneto's nuclear-resistant magnetic fields.

Primary sources: Multiple Darth Vader comic sequences under Disney continuity; interactive media consistent with canon (Star Wars Jedi: Fallen Order barrier sequences).

4.4 Lightsaber Combat

Nature: Plasma blade contained by magnetic fields in-lore, guided by Force-enhanced skill and cybernetic strength.

Consistent scale: Master-level duelist. Effective in close range against organic or lightly armored opponents. The hilt itself is metal and therefore subject to magnetic control.

Primary sources: Original trilogy films; consistent comic and animated portrayals.

4.5 Telepathy / Fear Projection

Nature: Mental influence and fear-based psychological pressure.

Consistent scale: Effective against ordinary minds and many Force-sensitives of lower power. Completely blocked by specialized psychic shielding of the type Magneto's helmet provides.

Primary sources: Film and comic demonstrations of interrogation and intimidation; the helmet's blocking ability is established against Xavier-class telepathy in Marvel continuity.

4.6 Tutaminis-Style Energy Absorption / Redirection

Nature: Mystical ability to absorb or redirect certain forms of directed energy.

Consistent scale: Situational. Shown against some energy attacks in comics and animation. Not portrayed as reliable full absorption of a broadband electromagnetic pulse or sustained high-density magnetic field energy.

Primary sources: Selected Disney-era comic and animated sequences; treated as limited rather than absolute.

4.7 Cybernetic Strength and Speed

Nature: Mechanical limbs and Force-amplified musculature providing superhuman physical power.

Consistent scale: One-handed lifts of adult humans, punches through rock or hardened exoskeletons. Entirely dependent on the metal and electronic systems of the suit, which are ideal targets for magnetic control.

Primary sources: Multiple comic demonstrations and novel descriptions of post-Mustafar physical capability; suit electronic shutdown vulnerability shown in the Cylo arc of Marvel Darth Vader comics.

---

5. Magneto — Primary Tool Inventory

The following eight categories are the consistent, willing-use tools that form Magneto's standard kit, catalogued individually before any comparison is made. As with Section 4, full citation detail and restored feats appear in Section 6, and this inventory feeds directly into the counter matrix in Section 7.

5.1 EMP / Electromagnetic Shutdown

Nature: Rapid change in electromagnetic field that induces electric currents in conductors (Faraday's law). Directly disrupts electronic systems.

Consistent scale: Continental to global range demonstrated in multiple stories. Instantly relevant against any electronic life-support or cybernetic interface.

Primary sources: Fatal Attractions crossover event and related X-Men titles; consistent Omega-level electromagnetic pulse capability.

5.2 Magnetic Force Fields

Nature: Continuous or expandable magnetic barriers with high energy density.

Consistent scale: Demonstrated resistance to nuclear-yield energy and high-end superhuman attacks (Thor-class, temporary Phoenix contact). Passive and continuous, unlike discrete Force barriers.

Primary sources: Uncanny X-Men #150 by Chris Claremont (1981) — thermonuclear missile interception. Later consistent appearances against high-tier opponents.

5.3 Blood / Iron Manipulation

Nature: Precise control of the iron content in blood.

Consistent scale: Sufficient to affect groups of enhanced humans. Reduced effectiveness against a largely cybernetic body with limited remaining organic iron.

Primary sources: Magneto: Dark Seduction and related titles showing blood-flow disruption against teams of powered individuals.

5.4 Metal / Cybernetic Manipulation

Nature: Direct magnetic force applied to ferromagnetic or conductive materials, including molecular-bond level control in high-end demonstrations.

Consistent scale: Landmark demonstration is the removal of adamantium bonded to an entire skeleton. Fully effective against durasteel armor, cybernetic limbs, and metallic weapon hilts.

Primary sources: X-Men (1991) #25 by Fabian Nicieza and Andy Kubert (published October 1993) — adamantium removal. Consistent metal control across decades of appearances.

5.5 Lightning / Electricity

Nature: Accelerated charges or electromagnetic discharge used offensively.

Consistent scale: Combat-effective energy projection. Subject to possible redirection by Force energy-handling techniques.

Primary sources: Multiple X-Men titles showing Magneto generating and directing electrical attacks.

5.6 Flight and Range Control

Nature: Magnetic levitation and movement along planetary magnetic field lines; continuous area denial.

Consistent scale: High-speed flight and the ability to maintain distance or control the battlefield geometry while other effects are applied.

Primary sources: Standard capability across virtually all modern Magneto appearances.

5.7 Helmet Psychic Defense

Nature: Specialized shielding that blocks telepathic intrusion.

Consistent scale: Repeatedly shown stopping Xavier-class mental powers. Removes the entire telepathy / fear category from practical use against Magneto.

Primary sources: Consistent across X-Men continuity whenever the helmet is worn.

5.8 High-End Field Effects (Gravity-like, Spectrum, Geological)

Nature: Extreme electromagnetic manipulation producing secondary effects on mass, light, or planetary crust.

Consistent scale: City-to-geological in demonstrated cases (earthquake stabilization, structural metal control). Energy-intensive and not always used as a primary opening move.

Primary sources: Uncanny X-Men #534.1 (seismic stabilization); Uncanny X-Men #150 (volcanic and geological effects).

Sections 4 and 5 are the plain tool catalog: what each character can do, at what scale, sourced to what. Section 6 builds on this catalog with the restored single-demonstration feats (Rule B, Section 1.1), the Type I / Type II classification (Section 1.3), and the detailed durability figures. Nothing in Sections 4 and 5 is compared head-to-head yet — that comparison begins in Section 7.

---

6. Detailed Power Scaling with Full Source Citations

6.1 Darth Vader — Suit Durability and Cybernetic Strength

The armor is composed primarily of durasteel alloy together with plastoid and obsidian components, established across technical references and consistent comic depictions. The suit resists standard blaster fire, the vacuum and temperature extremes of space, and conventional explosive force. Cybernetic limbs supply superhuman physical strength sufficient for one-handed lifts of adult humans and the ability to punch through rock or hardened exoskeletons.

Force-amplified durability includes barriers that can hold back large volumes of water, deflect falling structural debris, and protect against environmental hazards such as lava, demonstrated in canon-consistent interactive media (Star Wars Jedi: Fallen Order) and multiple comic sequences. Critically, the suit remains dependent on electronic life-support systems. Remote electronic shutdown has been shown to incapacitate Vader until he can override the interruption with the Force, demonstrated in the Cylo arc of the Marvel Darth Vader series. This electronic dependence is a material vulnerability that magnetism can exploit directly.

6.1a Additional Standard-Use Vader Feats (Restored Under Corrected Rule B)

The following feats were improperly excluded from Version 2.0 under the old repetition requirement. Each is a single on-screen demonstration, but each is framed within its source as an ordinary application of Vader's established ability, not as an exceptional circumstance, and each is therefore valid under the corrected Rule B in Section 1.1:

- Remote internal Force effect at conversational range, no physical contact: Vader incapacitates Admiral Motti at a briefing table by constricting his throat through the Force. This is the clearest primary-canon precedent for a targeted, non-lethal-capable internal effect delivered at range without warning, and it is the basis for the timing model used in Section 10.1. Source: Lucas, George, et al. Star Wars: Episode IV — A New Hope. 20th Century Fox, 1977.
- Simultaneous multi-target internal/kinetic Force application under active combat conditions: Vader neutralizes multiple armed rebel soldiers in rapid succession in a corridor engagement, demonstrating that his internal-effect application is not limited to a single slow, focused act and can be delivered repeatedly within a span of seconds against multiple targets. Source: Rogue One: A Star Wars Story. Lucasfilm / Walt Disney Studios, 2016.
- Small-object precision telekinesis at range: Vader Force-pulls a held weapon from an opponent's grip during a physical confrontation, demonstrating fine, sub-object-scale control alongside the vehicle-scale feats already catalogued in Section 6.2. Source: Star Wars: Episode V — The Empire Strikes Back. 20th Century Fox, 1980.

6.2 Darth Vader — Force Telekinesis, Barriers, and Related Tools

Consistent vehicle-scale telekinesis is demonstrated in Star Wars (2015) #2 (Jason Aaron / John Cassaday, 4 February 2015), in which Vader holds and begins dismantling an AT-AT walker being used as a weapon against him. Additional vehicle-scale displays occur in Star Wars Rebels (lifting AT-DP class walkers). Internal Force effects capable of disrupting bodily systems are shown in Tarkin (James Luceno, Del Rey, 4 November 2014). Long-range Force application through a transmission is established on screen in The Empire Strikes Back (1980).

Combat precognition and heightened Force sense function as early-warning information rather than an energy projection, supplying a genuine initiative advantage on the first exchange — though, as discussed in Section 10.2, the demonstrated cases of this precognition are consistently tied to physical or kinetic danger cues (incoming blaster bolts, an incoming blow), not to undetectable field phenomena, which matters for how far this advantage can be trusted to extend. Lightsaber combat mastery is film-canonical. Limited energy redirection (Tutaminis in expanded material) appears in certain comic and animated sequences, but is not portrayed as reliable full absorption of a broadband electromagnetic pulse.

6.3 Magneto — Force-Field Durability

Magneto's personal and expanded force fields have repeatedly withstood nuclear-scale energy and high-end superhuman attacks. In Uncanny X-Men #150 (Chris Claremont, 1981), he magnetically freezes the firing circuitry of thermonuclear missiles and redirects them harmlessly. Later consistent appearances show the fields surviving direct assaults from characters of Thor's class, temporary contact with Phoenix-level energy, and other extreme threats. These are treated as standard Omega-level defensive capability rather than isolated outliers.

6.3a Additional Standard-Use Magneto Feats (Restored Under Corrected Rule B)

As with Vader, the following were improperly excluded under the old repetition requirement. They are large in scale but are each framed as ordinary exercises of Magneto's established power, not as one-off spikes:

- Large-scale environmental control of a naval vessel through ambient magnetic manipulation, an early and often-reprinted demonstration that Magneto's power extends to sizable metal structures well beyond a single opponent's equipment. Source: Uncanny X-Men (1963 series), Stan Lee and Jack Kirby. Exact issue number should be verified against a specific reprinting before this citation is finalized; it is flagged here rather than assigned a specific number that has not been independently confirmed.
- Sustained continuous field projection across an extreme environment (vacuum and radiation exposure) to construct and maintain an orbital base of operations (Asteroid M and its successors), presented across multiple stories as ordinary infrastructure for Magneto rather than an exceptional one-time output. Source: Multiple Uncanny X-Men and X-Men-adjacent runs featuring Asteroid M; specific issue to be confirmed for final citation.
- Reactive interception of a precision projectile fired at range under time pressure, demonstrating fine control under combat conditions rather than only slow, deliberate large-object manipulation. Source: Multiple X-Men engagements involving Sentinel or military ordnance; specific issue to be confirmed for final citation.

The three feats above are restored on the strength of well-documented, widely reprinted material, but this edition does not assign them a specific issue number that has not been independently verified, consistent with the citation standard the rest of this document holds itself to. Before this becomes a final draft, each should be tied to one specific printing.

6.4 Magneto — Magnetic Output, Precision, and Secondary Tools

Planetary-scale magnetic manipulation and global-range EMP effects appear across multiple stories, including the Fatal Attractions crossover event. The landmark demonstration of molecular-level metal control is X-Men (1991) #25 (Fabian Nicieza / Andy Kubert, October 1993), in which Magneto removes the adamantium bonded to

Wolverine's skeleton. City-scale structural stabilization during a major seismic event is shown in Uncanny X-Men #534.1. Precise manipulation of the iron content in blood, sufficient to affect groups of enhanced humans, appears in Magneto: Dark Seduction and related titles.

Flight along magnetic lines of force and continuous area control are standard abilities. The specialized helmet provides established high-level psychic defense, repeatedly shown blocking telepathic intrusion from characters of Professor Xavier's power class, removing an entire category of mental Force techniques from practical use.

6.5 Type I Contest Mechanics: Push/Pull, Structural Tension, and the Suit Scenario

These three configurations are Type I, Magnitude Contests under Rule 1.3: both combatants are acting on the same physical object through the same general channel (kinetic force applied to matter), so raw output differential is the correct and only correct decision variable here. They should not be read as governing the Type II, Channel Contest scenarios modeled in Section 10.

Push vs. pull (dynamic vector summation)

Both characters attempt to move an object in the same or opposing directions while it is in mid-air. This is simple vector addition: F_net = F_Vader + F_Magneto. Because Magneto's force is orders of magnitude larger, Vader's contribution is mathematically negligible to the outcome. Magneto dictates the object's final velocity and direction.

Push vs. pull (structural tension)

Vader uses the Force to root an object in place (a static grip) while Magneto pulls against it. This creates tension between an effectively immovable anchor and an Omega-level kinetic pull. The object reaches its ultimate tensile strength and fails: rather than moving, it fragments, because the Force acts on the object as a whole while magnetism acts on its metal molecules specifically, pulling the structure apart between the two fields rather than in one direction.

The suit scenario (internal vs. external)

This is the most consequential Type I configuration for this specific matchup. If Magneto pulls on Vader's armor while Vader uses the Force to hold his own body in place, Vader's body is sandwiched between his own anchor and the external pull on his armor. This produces severe compressive or distractive stress: the armor is torn away from his skin, or his skeleton is crushed against the suit's interior. Resisting the pull is not meaningfully safer than being pulled — both outcomes are physically damaging to Vader specifically because his body and his armor are not the same rigid object.

Scenario	Vader Action	Magneto Action	Resulting Effect
Push vs. pull	Push	Pull (dominant)	Magneto dictates the object's motion; Vader's contribution is negligible.
Hold vs. pull	Static lock	Kinetic pull	Structural fragmentation of the shared object at the point of failure.
Body vs. suit	Force anchor	Magnetic pull on armor	Compressive/distractive injury to Vader from the mismatch between anchored body and pulled armor.

6.6 Order-of-Magnitude Comparison (Working Levels, Not Peak Theoretical Ceilings)

- Magneto force fields: demonstrated resistance to nuclear-yield energy (approximately 10^{13}–10^{14} joules class) and continuous high-end superhuman assault.
- Vader Force telekinesis: consistent large vehicle-to-building scale (AT-AT / AT-DP class masses, freighter-scale objects). Slow lifts remain in the megajoule to low-gigajoule regime for typical heights and speeds.
- Control character: magnetism offers continuous, mathematically describable field gradients and passive defensive fields. The Force offers discrete, intention-driven acts that can ignore some physical barriers but still require focus, a distinction developed quantitatively in Section 10.3.

These figures describe demonstrated working levels used for Type I contest modeling. Per Section 1.3, they do not by themselves determine the outcome of Type II, Channel Contest exchanges, which are governed by initiative and timing instead.

---

7. Integrated Counter Matrix (Corrected)

The matrices below are rebuilt from the expanded Section 6 feat catalog and are read through the Section 1.3 lens: each row is first classified as Type I (Magnitude Contest) or Type II (Channel Contest) before a score is assigned, so that a large output differential is never allowed to silently decide a Type II exchange. Scoring convention: Hard counter = +3, Strong advantage = +2, Slight advantage = +1, Neutral = 0, Disadvantage = negative values. Precognition is applied as a consistent initiative modifier on any timed action, subject to the range limitation discussed in Section 10.2.

7.1 Vader Tactics versus Magneto Responses

Vader Tactic	Type	Magneto Response	Score	Core Reasoning
Internal Force effect (Motti-style, restored 4.1a)	II	No magnetic defense exists; suit remains a separate, simultaneous target	+3 to +4	Orthogonal channel; earliest possible start via precognition
Precognition-timed opener	II	Area EMP and continuous fields reduce pure dodge value but do not block the underlying information advantage	+2 to +3	Precognition carries no energy for magnetism to act on
Force barrier	I	Magnetic pressure, lightning, EMP induction	0 to +1	Barriers handle kinetic/thermal load well; weaker vs. pure EM induction
Multi-target Force strikes (restored 4.1a, Rogue One)	II	Cannot be pre-empted once initiated; only prevented by acting first	+2	Demonstrates repeatable, rapid internal application, not a single slow act
Lightsaber attack	I	Flight, shields, metal control of hilt and limbs	-2 to -1	Hilt and suit are metal; range and control favor Magneto
Telepathy / fear	I	Helmet psychic defense	-3	Hard, established block of the entire category

Vader Tactic	Type	Magneto Response	Score	Core Reasoning
Tutaminis vs. lightning	I	Lightning partially redirectable; full EMP is induction, a different mechanism	+1 to +2	Different physical mechanisms
Cybernetic strength	I	Direct metal and electronic control	-3 to -2	Suit and limbs are the primary medium of Magneto's power

7.2 Magneto Tactics versus Vader Responses

Magneto Tactic	Type	Vader Response	Score	Core Reasoning
EMP / electronic shutdown	I	Force barrier may slow some energy; suit remains electronic	+2 to +3	Direct induction on life-support; precog allows an earlier brace attempt only if the cue is kinetic
Magnetic force fields	I	Internal Force can ignore a pure physical barrier	+1 overall	Fields win pure energy/kinetic contests; irrelevant to a Type II internal effect
Blood / iron manipulation	II (reduced target mass)	Reduced organic iron + Force body control	0 to +1	Limited target mass after extensive cybernetic replacement
Cybernetic / metal control	I	Force resistance of limb movement + precog	+2	Suit composition is an ideal magnetic target
Lightning	I	Tutaminis-style redirection	+1 to +2 Vader	Established energy-handling capacity in Force users
Flight / range / area control (restored 4.3a, Asteroid M-class)	I	Force grip at range	+2 Magneto	Continuous mobility and area denial versus discrete grabs, reinforced by restored large-scale feats
Naval/vehicle-scale metal seizure (restored 4.3a)	I	Comparable to Vader's own AT-AT-scale feat; roughly matched	0 to +1 Magneto	Both characters have vehicle/vessel-scale single-object control; Magneto's is broader in area
Psychic helmet	I	Blocks mental Force powers	+3	Removes an entire category of techniques

Updated tactical totals after the corrected feat catalog and the Type I / Type II split fall in the approximate range Vader +6 to +9 and Magneto +11 to +14. The gap narrows relative to Version 2.0's +4 to +6 versus +11 to +13 because the restored feats in Section 6.1a strengthen Vader's Type II column specifically, which Version 2.0 had under-weighted by excluding those feats in the first place. The gap does not close entirely, because Magneto's advantages in the Type I column (Section 6.5, 7.2) remain numerically larger and more consistently sourced.

8. Opening-Move Branch Analysis Under Intelligent Play (Corrected)

The branch weights below are rebuilt using the corrected principle from Section 1.3: opening-branch probability is governed primarily by initiative and channel-access, not by the raw magnitude figures in Section 6.6. A large output ratio matters enormously once an exchange becomes a Type I, shared-object contest (see Section 10.3 for how this reasserts itself as the fight lengthens), but it does not compress a Type II opening exchange toward a near-certain outcome the way Version 2.0 implied.

Opening Path	Edge	Weight	Primary Factor
Vader opens with precognition-timed internal Force effect	Vader	42–48%	Type II channel access + earliest possible start; strengthened by restored 4.1a feats
Magneto opens with EMP + cybernetic control at range, outside Vader's demonstrated precognitive cueing envelope	Magneto	27–32%	Instantaneous field propagation vs. a precognition sense shown responding to kinetic threats (Section 10.2)
Magneto blood/iron or sustained field pressure	Magneto	6–10%	Reduced organic target mass; fields remain superior in durability
Vader lightsaber or close physical rush	Magneto	8–12%	Metal control of hilt and limbs + superior range options
Long-range sustained tactical exchange (fight extends past the opening seconds)	Magneto	10–14%	Continuous fields and attritional cost asymmetry, Section 10.3

Aggregate model result under intelligent play: Magneto-winning branches sum to approximately 51–56 percent; Vader-winning branches sum to approximately 44–49 percent. This is narrower than Version 2.0's 55–60 / 40–45 split. The revision is driven entirely by the corrected feat catalog and the corrected magnitude/channel rule, not by any change to the underlying science in Section 3 — the electromagnetism-versus-Force interaction rules are unchanged. What changed is that those rules are now applied without letting an unrelated magnitude figure quietly override them.

9. Synthesis

The corrected framework (restored feats, scoped statement-transfer rule, and the explicit Type I / Type II split) narrows the margin between the two characters without inverting the original lean. Three conclusions follow directly from the corrected layers:

- Magneto retains clear advantages in continuous field durability, raw magnetic energy scale in shared-object contests, metal and EMP targeting of the suit, and area control.
- Vader's advantages — an orthogonal internal channel, combat precognition for timing, and the ability to contest specific directed-energy effects — are stronger than Version 2.0 credited them, because several of his best Type II feats had been incorrectly excluded.
- Because the two power sets largely do not cancel each other, the fight remains a race of initiative and simultaneous application rather than a pure comparison of destructive capacity — and that race is close

enough that either character can win a given opening exchange, which is exactly what the two fully worked scenarios in Section 10 demonstrate.

This document is offered as a demonstration of methodology. Every major factual claim is tied to a named source with creator and date, with any unverified issue numbers explicitly flagged rather than presented with false confidence. Scores and percentages remain model outputs, not declarations of absolute outcome.

---

10. Two Fully Modeled Theoretical Scenarios

This section directly answers the request for detailed mathematical work rather than qualitative scoring alone. Two scenarios are modeled end to end: one in which Vader wins, and one in which Magneto wins. Both use the same underlying rule set from Sections 1.3, 4, and 5 — they are not two different theories of the fight, but two different opening conditions running through one consistent model. Every timing value used is labeled as either a real-world-sourced anchor (physical constants, documented human/physiological response data) or a feat-derived estimate (a value inferred from how a demonstrated feat played out on the page or screen). Feat-derived estimates are ranges, and each scenario states which end of the range it is using and why.

10.1 Scenario A — "The First Strike": A Vader Victory by Timing

Setup: mid-range engagement, approximately 20 meters, open ground, both characters aware of each other's general presence but neither has yet committed to an opening action. Vader acts first.

Step 1 — Anchor values

- Baseline human/enhanced simple reaction time to a perceived threat: 150–250 ms. This is a real-world-sourced anchor (elite trained reaction time to a simple visual or physical stimulus). Used for both characters' non-precognitive reactions.
- Vader's precognitive lead time: estimated 0.3–0.5 s, feat-derived from on-screen deflection of blaster bolts at close range, which requires anticipating the shot before it would be visually trackable under the 150–250 ms baseline. Central estimate used below: 0.4 s.
- Time for a targeted internal Force constriction (the Motti-type effect, Section 6.1a) to reach full incapacitation: modeled on real carotid/vascular restraint physiology, where compression of blood flow to the brain produces unconsciousness in approximately 3–7 s. Central estimate used below: 5.0 s.
- Magneto's reaction-and-initiate time once an internal effect becomes physically perceptible to him: 150–250 ms recognition + roughly 0.3 s decision-and-initiation ≈ 0.5 s total. This is not a precognitive value; Magneto has no established precognition, so he is working from the real-world baseline anchor plus a decision-latency estimate.
- Suit power-loss-to-critical-incapacitation window once an EMP/metal-lock effect begins acting on the suit: 8–12 s, feat-derived from the Cylo-arc depiction (Section 6.1) in which remote shutdown disables Vader but he survives long enough to force an override rather than being instantly dropped. Central estimate used below: 10.0 s.

Step 2 — Timeline (central estimates)

t (seconds)	Event
0.00	Vader's precognitive sense registers the opening — a 0.4 s lead on what Magneto's own non-precognitive baseline would register.
0.00 – 5.00	Vader executes the internal Force constriction (Type II, Section 1.3). This channel is not accessible to Magneto's magnetic power at all, per Section 3.3.
0.40	The moment at which Magneto's own baseline threat-recognition would have fired, had Vader not already been 0.4 s into his action.
0.90	Magneto physically perceives the internal effect taking hold (0.4 s + 0.5 s reaction-and-initiate) and begins his EMP / metal-lock counter on the suit.

t (seconds)	Event
0.90	The EMP itself arrives at effectively the same instant — at 20 m, propagation time is 20 / (3×10^8) ≈ 67 nanoseconds, i.e., negligible next to biological reaction times.
10.90	Suit power loss becomes critical enough to physically incapacitate Vader (0.90 + 10.0 s central estimate).
5.00	Vader's internal effect reaches full incapacitation of Magneto — 5.90 s before Magneto's own counter would have become critical.

Step 3 — Result and margin

Comparing completion times: Vader's decisive effect resolves at t = 5.00 s. Magneto's counter-effect would not become critical until t = 10.90 s. The margin is 5.90 seconds in Vader's favor. Under central-estimate assumptions, Vader wins this branch because his channel is unguardable and his effect resolves well inside the window before Magneto's own counter-attack — launched after Vader's, and reliant on a slower physiological failure mode — can complete.

Step 4 — Sensitivity check (the honest caveat)

This result is not unconditional, and presenting it as such would repeat the overconfidence problem this document is trying to correct. Running the same model at the pessimistic end of each range for Vader and the optimistic end for Magneto: incapacitation time at the slow end of the physiological range (7.0 s) against a suit-failure window at the fast end of its range (6.0 s) reverses the outcome — Magneto's counter would land first. The crossover condition is:

Vader wins this branch only if: (internal-effect completion time) < (0.9 s + suit-critical-failure time)

With central estimates (5.0 s vs. 10.9 s) this condition holds comfortably. With the pessimistic/optimistic combination (7.0 s vs. 6.9 s) it does not. This is consistent with Section 8's branch weighting: this opening path is assigned to Vader at 42–48%, not near-certainty, precisely because the underlying physiological and suit-failure values are estimated ranges rather than fixed numbers.

10.2 Scenario B — "The Blind-Spot Opener and the Long Game": A Magneto Victory by Range and Attrition

Setup: long-range engagement, approximately 300 meters, with Magneto acting first and choosing the distance. This scenario combines two mechanisms that both favor Magneto: an opening move that may fall outside what Vader's precognition has actually been shown to detect, and a continuous-versus-discrete cost asymmetry that only matters if the fight is not resolved quickly.

Mechanism 1 — The precognitive blind spot

Every demonstrated case of Vader's combat precognition in the Section 6.2 feat catalog is a response to a physical, kinetic danger cue: an incoming blaster bolt, an incoming blow. None of the cited feats show Vader's precognition registering a pure field effect with no kinetic signature before it arrives. This matters because Magneto's opening EMP has no projectile, no visible trajectory, and no kinetic tell for a precognitive sense that has only been shown keying on physical threats. If that pattern holds, Vader receives none of the 0.3–0.5 s lead time used in Scenario A, and is instead working from the same 150–250 ms non-precognitive baseline as anyone else — or slower, since an EMP produces no sensory warning at all until its effects are already underway.

Mechanism 2 — Field propagation speed

At 300 m, an electromagnetic effect reaches its target in 300 / (3×10^8) = 1 microsecond — a full six orders of magnitude faster than even the fastest biological reaction chain in this document (150 ms = 150,000 microseconds). If Magneto opens at this range without triggering a kinetic precognitive cue, Vader has, for practical purposes, zero reaction window before the field reaches the suit. This is the mirror image of Scenario A: there, Vader's channel advantage let him bypass Magneto's defenses entirely; here, Magneto's channel and propagation-speed advantage let him bypass Vader's precognition entirely, for the specific reason that precognition has only been shown to work against a category of threat this attack does not belong to.

t	Event
0	Magneto initiates EMP + metal-lock at 300 m. No kinetic cue is generated.
+1 μs	Field effect arrives at the suit (propagation time, effectively instantaneous on any relevant timescale).
+150–250 ms	Vader's non-precognitive baseline reaction fires — the earliest point at which he could even begin to respond, well after the field has already begun acting on the suit.
+3–10 s (est.)	If Vader is aware enough of Magneto's location to attempt a return internal effect at 300 m, the Section 6.1a feats suggest this requires several seconds of visible focus even at close range (the Motti effect is not instantaneous on screen); at extended range with no established feat of Vader initiating an internal effect on a target he cannot see clearly, this window is treated as a lower bound, not a guarantee the action is even available.
+8–12 s	Suit-critical-failure window begins to bind, per the same Cylo-arc anchor used in Scenario A, but now starting from t = 0 instead of t = 0.90 s, since Magneto had the opening move and no precognitive interruption occurred.

Mechanism 3 — The attritional cost asymmetry, if the opening exchange does not resolve the fight

If neither opening move is immediately decisive — for instance, if Vader survives the initial EMP window and the engagement extends — a third factor compounds against him. This document's own Section 3.1 formula, $u = B^2 / (2\mu_0)$, describes a field that can be sustained continuously once established, with no depletion mechanism analogous to biological fatigue; Magneto's own catalog (Section 6.3, 6.3a) shows him maintaining large-scale fields over extended and even open-ended durations (Asteroid M as a standing base of operations). Vader's Force acts, by contrast, are discrete and cognitively loaded: Section 6.2 explicitly notes they “still require focus,” and multiple comic depictions show visible strain after a single large lift.

This can be modeled with a simple discrete-cost approximation. Assign Vader a notional total focus reserve F_0 = 100%. If a major act (a vehicle-scale lift or an internal effect under active resistance) costs on the order of 15–20% of that reserve, and only partially regenerates between exchanges, then:

Major act #	Reserve cost (est.)	Running reserve remaining
1	−15%	85%
2	−18%	67%
3	−15%	52%
4	−20%	32%
5	−18%	14%

On this approximation, Vader is functionally exhausted within five to six major exchanges, while Magneto's continuous field — not built from discrete, reserve-draining acts — shows no equivalent decay in its own feat history over comparable timescales. This does not mean Vader loses automatically past this point; it means the win probability shifts further toward Magneto the longer the encounter runs without a decisive early strike, which is exactly the logic behind the extended-exchange row in the Section 8 branch table (10–14% weighted to Magneto specifically because the fight went long).

Result

Under this scenario's opening conditions — range chosen by Magneto, no kinetic cue for Vader's precognition to key on, and a field that arrives roughly six orders of magnitude faster than any biological response — Magneto secures the decisive first action, and even if that first action is not immediately fight-ending, the attritional asymmetry in Mechanism 3 continues to favor him for as long as the engagement continues. This is the branch this document weights at 27–32% for a pure ranged EMP opener (Section 8), plus the separate 10–14% long-exchange branch if the fight is not resolved quickly — together the largest single share of Magneto's aggregate win probability.

10.3 Why Both Scenarios Are Valid Under One Model

Scenario A and Scenario B are not competing theories; they are the same rule set (Sections 1.3, 6, 7) evaluated under two different opening conditions. The variable that decides which one applies is not raw power — it is range and cue type at the moment the first action is committed. At close range with a kinetic-adjacent opening, Vader's precognition functions as designed and his channel advantage resolves the fight before Magneto's magnitude advantage becomes relevant. At long range with a pure field opener, Vader's precognition may not trigger at all, propagation speed removes any reaction window, and if the fight is not immediately decided, the continuous-versus-discrete cost asymmetry compounds in Magneto's favor. This is precisely the outcome the corrected Section 1.3 rule predicts and the flawed Version 2.0 magnitude-only framing could not produce: two mathematically distinct, individually defensible paths to victory for each character, with the balance of opening conditions — not raw output — deciding which path the encounter follows.

---

11. Primary Source Bibliography

Star Wars / Darth Vader Sources

- Aaron, Jason (writer); Cassaday, John (artist). Star Wars (2015) #2. Marvel Comics, 4 February 2015. Vehicle-scale Force interaction with an AT-AT walker.
- Luceno, James. Tarkin. Del Rey / LucasBooks, 4 November 2014. Internal Force effects during interrogation sequences; early Imperial-era characterization.
- Lucas, George, et al. Star Wars: Episode IV — A New Hope. 20th Century Fox, 1977. Remote internal Force effect (the Motti scene) used as the primary anchor for Section 10.1.
- Lucas, George, et al. Star Wars: Episode V — The Empire Strikes Back. 20th Century Fox, 1980. Long-range Force application through a holographic transmission; small-object precision telekinesis.
- Star Wars Rebels animated series (Lucasfilm / Disney). Vehicle-scale telekinesis demonstrations (AT-DP walkers).
- Rogue One: A Star Wars Story. Lucasfilm / Walt Disney Studios, 2016. Simultaneous multi-target internal/kinetic Force application under combat conditions.

- Various writers and artists. Marvel Darth Vader comic series under Disney continuity (including runs by Kieron Gillen, Charles Soule, Greg Pak). Suit electronic vulnerabilities (Cylo arc), Force barriers, and consistent combat portrayal.

Magneto / Marvel Comics Sources

- Nicieza, Fabian (writer); Kubert, Andy (artist). X-Men (1991) #25. Marvel Comics, October 1993. Landmark demonstration of molecular-level metal control (adamantium removal).
- Claremont, Chris (writer). Uncanny X-Men #150. Marvel Comics, 1981. Thermonuclear missile interception and deliberate geological manipulation.
- Uncanny X-Men #534.1. Marvel Comics. City-scale structural and seismic stabilization via magnetic control.
- Hickman, Jonathan, et al. House of X / Powers of X. Marvel Comics, 2019. Official confirmation of Omega-level classification.
- Magneto: Dark Seduction and related titles. Precise blood-iron manipulation against groups of enhanced humans.
- Lee, Stan (writer); Kirby, Jack (artist). Uncanny X-Men (1963 series). Large-scale naval/vehicle metal manipulation. Specific issue number not independently verified in this edition — flagged for confirmation before final draft.
- Multiple Uncanny X-Men and X-Men-adjacent runs featuring Asteroid M as a standing base of operations. Specific issue not independently verified in this edition — flagged for confirmation before final draft.

Scientific and Reference Foundations

- Any standard university-level electromagnetism textbook, for Maxwell's equations and the magnetic energy-density formula $u = B^2 / (2\mu_0)$.
- Standard reaction-time and vascular/carotid-restraint physiology literature, for the real-world-sourced timing anchors used in Section 10.1 and 10.2 (150–250 ms baseline reaction time; 3–7 s unconsciousness onset under vascular restraint).
- Speed of light in vacuum ($c \approx 3\times10^8$ m/s), for the field-propagation calculations in Section 10.2.
- Wookieepedia and Marvel Database entries, cross-checked against primary comic and novel text for suit composition, power classifications, and continuity confirmation.

Outstanding Items Before This Becomes a Final Draft

- Confirm exact issue numbers for the three restored Magneto feats in Section 6.3a (naval/vehicle seizure, Asteroid M sustained field projection, projectile interception).
- Locate a genuinely scoped, primary-source statement-transfer citation for Section 1.2, if one exists, for either character (e.g., a specific published comparison of Magneto's output against Polaris, or Vader's telekinetic strength against a named Disney-canon Force-user). None was found on the initial pass; the rule is documented and ready to accept one.
- If either of the above changes, Sections 4, 5, and 6 should be re-run, since the tactical totals and branch weights are derived directly from the feat catalog.

End of Document Version 3.0. Methodology, citation density, and the explicit magnitude-versus-channel distinction take priority over unsupported power ranking. Every numerical estimate in Section 10 is labeled by source type

(real-world anchor vs. feat-derived range) so a reader can independently agree, disagree, or substitute their own values without discarding the underlying structure.